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Abstract

Time series modelling and the forecasting of economic, financial time series is an active and fascinating area of research due to the presence of structural changes i.e. political regimes, business cycle variations and financial crises etc. In these cases, a careful handling is required to model time series when nonlinearity present in the data. Due to the nonlinear behavior of economic and financial time series, it is not possible to rely only on predictions from the simple estimated linear time series models. This study aims to explore and compare the forecasting performance time series models i.e. linear Autoregressive (AR) model with two nonlinear regime switching models namely Markov Regime Switching Autoregressive (MSAR) and Self-Exciting Threshold Autoregressive (SETAR). Macroeconomic variables i.e. interest rate, inflation (CPI), industrial production, GDP growth, and exchange rate from some developed and developing countries included G7 countries are chosen for this study. Quarterly based time series data from 1970 to 2016 is used. Empirically, the forecast performance of nonlinear time series model namely SETAR is found to be superior to the linear Auto Regressive model as well as nonlinear MSAR model. The results are evaluated on the basis of forecast accuracy criteria namely RMSE, MAE and MAPE.
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Introduction

Forecasting future path of economies is highly valuable to policy makers, government agencies, business managers, investors, and financial analysts.
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Many economic models stipulate expectation of economic variables. For example, the expectation augmented by Phillips curve employs expected future inflation in modeling current inflation. Discounted cash flow model of stock price specifies stock price as a discounted value of expected future dividends. Pricing of derivatives products requires an estimate of expected volatility over the course of its life. As future is uncertain by its very nature, it becomes arduous and challenging for researchers to conjure a satisfactory forecasting model. There is always needed an effort to secure a reliable forecasting model, however, the development continues for the superior fitting and estimating the best forecasting models. A basic cause due to which a forecasting model fails is the ignorance of the characteristics of parametric nonlinearity in economic variables. Andersen and Vahid (1998) shows that the linear forecast models do not have the ability to understand the irregular particulars of the data. Also, these traditional linear estimated models forecast the symmetric pattern of shocks (positive and negative) on the time series variable which is unreliable with the observed asymmetric outcome. An indication of successful forecast of macroeconomic variables is to deal cautiously with the nonlinearity present in the data. The overall environment of economy be determined by some of the main macroeconomic financial time series variables namely exchange rates, industrial production, gross domestic product, interest rate as well as inflation. Better modeling and forecasting techniques of these variables are the ultimately key to success in managing the macro economy. This motivates the ongoing research in macroeconomic forecasting.

The well-known linear models such as the simple autoregressive estimation are usually used to estimate the models for the economic and financial data. The famous linear time series modeling strategy i.e. the traditional Box-Jenkins approach is built on linear (ARIMA) autoregressive integrated moving average time series model. These models are used in every field for the purpose of forecasting regardless of the nature of non-linearity inherent in data. As such these linear models may not perform satisfactorily to overcome the issue of nonlinear behavior of time series. Since the past few decades, the researchers show enormous concern in estimation and forecasting the nonlinear time series.

As Terasvirta (2002) points out there exist a large amount of nonlinear models which is impossible to review in a single study. Furthermore, since the last two decades, a good amount of research has focused on nonlinear models to augment the application of widely used linear time series models. Some nonlinear time series models are estimated mostly for the second moment forecast of conditional volatility in the data i.e. Granger and Anderson (1978) estimated the bilinear model, Engle (1982) also estimated the ARCH model while Bollerslev (1986) estimated and present the generalized ARCH (GARCH). According to Franses and Dijk (2000), nonlinear models especially regime-switching models are widely estimated and used to forecast by the researchers. They are also appreciated by many researchers and forecasters. Few years before, Clements and Smith (1997) pointed out that the linear AR model provides better out of sample and in-sample fit as compared to the any other time series model. Similarly, some researchers also studied and revealed that the non-linear time series...
models are not a bench-mark for better forecasts against the linear Autoregressive time series models. [For details see Diebold (1990), and De Gooijer and Kumar (1992)]

In this study, the main focus was on the forecasting performance of the nonlinear models. Considering two most famous nonlinear time series models namely MSAR and SETAR. Regime switching models are designed especially for modeling the distinct behavior of time series, which generates the data. Regime switching models permit the quick change between regimes but every regime model has a different approach to model the movements between the regimes. The main difference between MSAR models and SETAR models is actually the movement between regimes. In the MS-AR which shows no regard for its past values. While in the SETAR model the movement between regimes is related to the past values. According to Clements & Krolzig (1998), the MS-AR and SETAR models have a higher level of capability of capturing nonlinear behavior of business cycles as compared to linear models. Nevertheless, the power of forecasting of these models is not as superior as expected.

The study uses the macroeconomic data of both developed and developing countries in the analysis. Higher dependence on agriculture, underutilized natural resources, demographic characteristics, socio culture bonds, dualistic nature of economy etc., are the characteristics of developing countries which differentiate them from the developed countries. Thus the structure of macro economy in developing countries is different from the developed countries. Therefore, data of both types of countries are employed.

Quarterly data sets of five most important macroeconomic variables are used which characterize an economy namely interest rate, inflation, GDP growth, exchange rate and industrial production from 1970 to 2016. The developed countries included in analysis are four of the G7 countries Canada, Japan, United Kingdom (UK) and United States (US) and Australia while the developing countries used are the three BRICS countries i.e. Brazil, India, South Africa and Turkey. However, some series have a shorter sample range depending on availability. The parameters of the respective models are estimated and used model selection criteria for the comparison of out-of-sample fit of linear autoregressive AR models, SETAR and MSAR models.

A contribution of this study is to include some important developed countries i.e. the G7 countries and important developing countries i.e. the BRICS countries in the same analysis to evaluate the forecasting performance of linear and nonlinear time series models. Most of the earlier studies have used data from only the developed countries. Keeping in view the distinct structure of the two types of economies it is important to employ the data of both.
Forecasting Models

Linear autoregressive (AR) models

The traditional linear model i.e. AR model is considered only in this study, related to the time-series approach from Box and Jenkins (1970). Kunst (2012) revealed that the linear Autoregressive model is the common linear time series model due to its characteristics i.e. assessing and estimating the model under the assumptions of ordinary least squares regression (OLS). Following these researches, only AR model are used. A process that characterizes the AR model is the autoregressive first order process:

\[ y_t = \mu + \varphi y_{t-1} + u_t \]

The intercept parameter is “\(y_t\)” while the uncorrelated random error is presented by \(u_t\) having mean zero and variance \(\sigma^2\). According to Akaike (1973), the order of AR lag \(q\) is selected to minimize AIC, such that:

\[ AIC(q) = \ln(\hat{\sigma}^2(q)) + 2(q + 2)/T \]

\[ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\mu}^2 / T. \]

Where But only considered the first four order lags. Longer lag orders never gives appropriate and better forecast [Clements and Smith (1997)]. The AR model is a special case of the more general ARMA models.

Self-exciting threshold autoregressive models

TAR model i.e. threshold autoregressive models is the simplest nonlinear threshold model that contains linear specifications separately and regime-switching. These tremendous procedures were firstly introduced by the renowned researcher namely Tong (1978). When \(w_t\) is taken as a lagged value itself, in time series, i.e. \(w_t = y_{1,g}\) for a certain integer \(g > 0\) then as a result, a new model is established which is SETAR model. According to Kahraman, et al., (2012), nonlinear model i.e. SETAR model has always gain attention from the researchers because it contains linear function piecewise without any boundaries with respect to its applications.

If \(g = 1\) and an autoregressive AR(1) model is assumed, a two regime SETAR model is given by:

\[ y_t = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{0,1} + \alpha_{1,1}y_{t-1} + e_t & \text{if } y_t \leq c, \\
\alpha_{0,2} + \alpha_{1,2}y_{t-1} + e_t & \text{if } y_t > c, 
\end{cases} \]

\[ \{y_t \} \]
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where $e_t$ are independently and identically distributed, white noise disturbance conditional upon the time series history

equation 3.1 can be written by another way which is:

$$y_t = (\alpha_{0,1} + \alpha_{1,1}y_{t-1})(1 - \beta[y_{t-1} > c]) + (\alpha_{0,2} + \alpha_{1,2}y_{t-1})\beta[y_{t-1} > c] + e_t$$

Where, $\beta[I]$ is actually an indicator function such that if $\beta[I]=1$ if event I occurs while $\beta[I]=0$ otherwise.

For higher order AR models, for different regimes such as two regime case, the order of AR can be set to q1 and q2 in the lower regime and upper regime respectively. Hence, the SETAR model can be written as:

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \alpha_{0,1} + \alpha_{1,1}y_{t-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{q1,1}y_{t-q1} + e_t & \text{if } y_{t-1} \leq c, \\ \alpha_{0,2} + \alpha_{1,2}y_{t-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{q2,2}y_{t-q2} + e_t & \text{if } y_{t-1} > c, \end{cases} \quad \text{(4)}$$

**Markov regime switching models**

According to Terasvirta and Timo (2005), the Markov Regime Switching autoregressive model (MS-AR):

$$y_t = \begin{cases} \alpha_{0,1} + \alpha_{1,1}y_{t-1} + e_t & \text{if } z_t = 1 \\ \alpha_{0,2} + \alpha_{1,2}y_{t-1} + e_t & \text{if } z_t = 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{(5)}$$

Hence,

$$y_t = (\alpha_0 z_t + \alpha_1 z_t y_{t-1}) + e_t \quad \text{(6)}$$

Where $e_t \sim \text{NID}(0,\sigma^2)$. The specification is required for process $z_t$ for the completion of the model.

The famous Markov-Switching model (MSW) was created by Hamilton (1989) which depends on the order of four lags.

$$p(z_t = 1 | z_{t-1} = 1) = w_{11},$$

$$p(z_t = 2 | z_{t-1} = 1) = w_{12},$$

$$p(z_t = 3 | z_{t-1} = 2) = w_{21},$$

$$p(z_t = 4 | z_{t-1} = 2) = w_{22},$$

Hence, $z_t$ is the Markov Process’ first order.
Therefore, \( w_{ij} \) is equal to the probability that a Markov chain moves from state \( i \) at time \( t - 1 \) to state \( j \) at time \( t \). i.e. \( w_{11} + w_{12} = 1 \) and \( w_{21} + w_{22} = 1 \). With finite states, an ergodic Markov chain i.e. 
\[
P(z_t = 1) = \frac{1-w_{22}}{2-w_{11}-w_{22}} \quad \text{.................................}(7)
\]
\[
P(z_t = 2) = \frac{1-w_{11}}{2-w_{11}-w_{22}} \quad \text{.................................}(8)
\]

As pointed out by Deschamps (2008) the difference between the MSAR and the TAR model is that MSAR uses less prior information than the later model. Also the SETAR model requires the choice of a transition variable while the MSAR estimates transition function flexibly from the data.

Hsu, et al. (2010) studied the forecasting ability of traditional ARIMA model and nonlinear SETAR models. They used the data stock prices. According to Hsu, et al. (2010), the economic environment changes from time to time, therefore, the stock market often depends on change over time. They used Chow breakpoint test to choose the breakpoint for the SETAR model according to Hansen (2001). They made their results using the MSE, MAE, AMAPE, and MAPE information criteria’s which strongly favored the SETAR model due to the superior forecasting ability over ARIMA model (Shin, 1992). Furthermore, he also discussed about other famous tests i.e. Phillips and Perron (1988) and ADF by Dickey and Fuller (1979) and (1981). Estimated results from these unit root tests may be biased. Perron (1989) revealed that mostly a unit root in various macroeconomic and financial variables is absent. Hence, to identify the unit root in any time series data set, the unit root breakpoint is used. Akaike criterion (AIC) and Schwarz criterion (BIC) are adopted for the matter of length of lag, two selection methods for Breakpoint test are used, one is F-statistic while the second is Schwarz (BIC) criterion.

Empirical Findings and Discussion

Breakpoint unit root test

In case of macroeconomic variable GDP growth for all the countries, break point unit root test results revealed that unit root is not present. Nevertheless, results also revealed the unit root is present in remaining macroeconomic time series for most countries i.e. inflation, industrial production, interest rate and exchange rate.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Indicators</th>
<th>Break-point Unit Root</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>USA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
agencies, business managers, investors, and financial analysts.

This study aims to explore and compare the forecasting performance of time series models, particularly linear and non-linear models. The research paper focuses on macroeconomic variables such as GDP growth, Industrial Production, and inflation. Empirically, the forecasting power of the Linear AR model is found to be better than non-linear models like SETAR and MSAR for exchange rate forecasting. However, for GDP growth of Canada, India, and Turkey, SETAR modeling is superior. For Industrial Production of Australia, Japan, and the UK, the SETAR model is the most suitable forecasting technique. For interest rates, the MS-AR modeling technique is superior in forecasting for Brazil, Turkey, and all developed countries included in this study. All the information criteria fully support the MSAR model for Japan, UK, South Africa, and Turkey. The results are shown by each macroeconomic time series. Generally, for short-run forecasting as well as long-run forecasting, SETAR model produces the lowest forecast accuracy measure in most of the cases.

### Forecast Evaluation

Table 2a and Table 2b represent the results regarding the forecasting performance of macroeconomic variables for all the models for short-term i.e. 4 quarters ahead and long-term i.e. 21 quarters ahead, respectively, but the results do not favor a particular forecasting model. Moreover, multi-criteria (RMSE, MAE, and MAPE) are used for the comparison of forecasting ability between the models for short-term and long-term. The model with the best forecasting performance corresponding to the linear or non-linear model has been shown. The results are shown by each macroeconomic time series. Generally, for short-run forecasting as well as long-run forecasting, SETAR model produces the lowest forecast accuracy measure in most of the cases.

### Table 2a

**RMSE, MAP & MAPE for one year (4-Quarters) ahead forecast**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Exchange Rate</th>
<th>GDP growth</th>
<th>Log(CPI)</th>
<th>Interest Rate</th>
<th>Log(Industrial Production)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR SET AR MS AR</td>
<td>AR SET AR MS AR</td>
<td>AR SET AR MS AR</td>
<td>AR SET AR MS AR</td>
<td>AR SET AR MS AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.07 0.025 0.95</td>
<td>0.32 0.376 0.37</td>
<td>0.0 0.003 0.00</td>
<td>1.02 0.217 1.13</td>
<td>0.01 0.034 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0.71 0.782 0.68</td>
<td>2.01 2.178 1.95</td>
<td>0.0 0.019 0.07</td>
<td>0.25 0.035 0.16</td>
<td>0.08 0.117 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0.05 0.072 0.05</td>
<td>0.34 0.247 0.29</td>
<td>0.0 0.003 0.35</td>
<td>0.73 0.209 3.01</td>
<td>0.00 0.003 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0.05 0.050 0.03</td>
<td>0.33 0.304 0.27</td>
<td>0.0 0.009 0.01</td>
<td>0.60 0.507 0.61</td>
<td>0.01 0.032 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0.04 0.025 0.05</td>
<td>0.77 0.600 0.74</td>
<td>0.0 0.006 0.00</td>
<td>0.01 0.062 0.14</td>
<td>0.02 0.041 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2.32 4.028 2.49</td>
<td>0.85 0.984 0.95</td>
<td>0.0 0.020 0.00</td>
<td>0.22 0.224 0.23</td>
<td>0.01 0.007 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 1% and ** Significant at 5% level.
Forecasting future path of economies is highly valuable to policy makers, government agencies, and financial institutions. The performance of forecasting models is often evaluated using various criteria such as RMSE, MAE, and MAPE. This indicates the accuracy of predictions made by different models.

Time series modelling and the forecasting of economic, financial time series is an active and fascinating area of research. Researchers have explored various models to improve forecasting accuracy. For instance, the ARCH (GARCH) model have been widely estimated and used to forecast by the researchers. They are also appreciated by practitioners for their ability to capture non-linear dynamics in financial data.

Empirical studies have shown that nonlinear models can perform better, particularly in capturing regime-switching behavior in economic indicators such as GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, exchange rates, and industrial production. However, these models require sophisticated techniques for parameter estimation and model selection.

Table 2a and Table 2b, represent the results regarding the forecasting performance of macroeconomic indicators. The tables compare the performance of different forecasting models, including AR, SETAR, MSAR models, for various countries and time horizons. The results show that nonlinear models, such as SETAR and MSAR, tend to outperform linear models in forecasting short-term economic indicators.

In conclusion, while linear models have limitations in capturing non-linear dynamics, advanced nonlinear models like SETAR and MSAR provide a more accurate forecast for economic indicators, especially in the short run. These models are particularly useful in environments where economic conditions change rapidly.
## Introduction

Many researchers and forecasters have pointed out that the traditional linear estimated models forecast the symmetric pattern of shocks. These models are estimated and used model selection criteria for the comparison of out-of-sample fit of the forecasting performance of the nonlinear models. In this study, the main focus was on the forecasting performance of the nonlinear models. According to Terasvirta and Timo (2005), the Markov Regime Switching autoregressive models are estimated and used model selection criteria for the comparison of out-of-sample fit of the forecasting performance of the nonlinear models. According to Kahraman, et al., (2012), nonlinear model i.e., TAR model, is the simplest nonlinear threshold model that can be set to q1 and q2 in the lower regime and upper regime respectively. Hence, the SETAR model is the common linear time series model due to its characteristics i.e. assessing and estimating the data. Also, these traditional linear estimated models forecast the symmetric pattern of shocks. Nevertheless, the power of forecasting of these models is not as superior as those of the nonlinear models. The famous Markov-Switching model (MSW) was created by Hamilton (1989) which established which is SETAR model. According to Karnick and Timo (2005), the MSW model contains a single mean shift parameter for the transition variable while the MSAR estimates transition function flexibly from the data.

## Forecasting Models

The traditional linear model i.e. AR model is considered only in this study, related to the environments changes from time to time, therefore, the stock market often depends on change over the time. According to Hsu, et al. (2010), the economic environment changes from time to time, therefore, the stock market often depends on change over the time. The MSAR contains the lowest forecasting errors in five out of nine countries for the exchange rate, in the case of macroeconomic variable GDP growth for all the countries, break point unit root test is performed. These generally fail to capture the stylized behavior some economic time series i.e. structural events occurred. Also, the break point unit root test is performed on economic variables such as inflation, growth, interest rate and exchange rate. Table 2a and Table 2b, represent the results regarding the forecasting performance of macroeconomic variables and exchange rate.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>SET</th>
<th>MSAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>SET</th>
<th>MSAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>SET</th>
<th>MSAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## References

According to our results, the long run forecasting outcome is the most surprising result. As the linear AR modeling technique has the superior forecasting ability for most of the countries except Australia, Canada, and Japan. The linear AR model is best among nonlinear models for the remaining four countries. The SETAR models. They used the data stock prices. According to Hsu, et al. (2010), the economic environment changes from time to time, therefore, the stock market often depends on change over the time. The MSAR contains the lowest forecasting errors in five out of nine countries for the exchange rate, in the case of macroeconomic variable GDP growth for all the countries, break point unit root test is performed. These generally fail to capture the stylized behavior some economic time series i.e. structural events occurred. Also, the break point unit root test is performed on economic variables such as inflation, growth, interest rate and exchange rate. Table 2a and Table 2b, represent the results regarding the forecasting performance of macroeconomic variables and exchange rate.
NOTE: forecast evaluation criteria techniques MAE, MAPE and RMSE are used.

Exchange rate

The comparison of forecasting performance for short-run forecasting of the exchange rate is presented in Table 2a, while for long run forecasting comparison, the results are shown in Table 2b. Most of the results are in favor of nonlinear models for short-run forecasting. As the SETAR and MS-AR contains the lowest forecasting errors in five out of nine countries for the exchange rate, in which SETAR models technique have better prediction ability performance for exchange rate of Australia, Japan, and Turkey with the lowest forecasting errors. The MS-AR modeling technique is much better for developing countries such as India and Brazil as compared to the SETAR and Linear AR models. But linear AR models is also a suitable technique for the forecasting purpose for developed countries such as Canada and the UK, while it is also a better forecasting technique for South Africa which is a developing country.

For the long run forecasting, the linear AR modeling technique is a better choice for the two developed countries e.g. Canada and the UK. While the SETAR modeling technique has the best forecasting performance as compared to the AR and MS-AR models for Australia and two developing countries Brazil and South Africa. MS-AR modeling technique has the lowest forecasting error for exchange rates of India and Japan (Table 2b).

GDP growth

The Comparison of forecasting techniques for the short-run horizons also takes account for the Gross domestic product of countries, displayed in Table 2a. The best forecasting technique for the GDP growth of Brazil, India, Turkey and the UK is MS-AR technique, using the multi-comparison criteria. Furthermore, SETAR is the best forecasting model for the most developed countries named Canada and Japan. Linear AR modeling technique is better among SETAR and MS-AR for GDP growth of Australia, USA, and South Africa. For long run forecasting (Table 2b), linear AR models are superior for GDP growth of Canada, India, UK and Turkey. SETAR modeling technique is best for South Africa and the USA while the MS-AR modeling technique is far better than linear AR and SETAR for GDP growth of Australia, Brazil, and Japan.

Consumer Price Inflation

The CPI is a measure that studies the average of prices of a consumer goods and services. It is one of the most important macroeconomic variables for any country. The performance for the short-run forecasting for CPI totally supports the nonlinear regime models. The SETAR modeling technique is the best one among all the other forecasting techniques for the CPI for Australia, Brazil,
Canada, India, and the USA. While the MS-AR technique is the most suitable and better forecasting modeling technique for Japan, UK, South Africa and Turkey. All the information criteria fully supported the results. For long run forecasting prospect, again CPI of all countries including developed countries, favors the nonlinear regime models. The SETAR modeling technique has superior forecasting ability for Brazil, Turkey, and all developed countries (included in this study) as compare to the MS-AR technique except Australia, India, and South Africa which has the lowest forecasting errors for MS-AR.

**Interest rate**

According to the results shown in Table 2a, for short-run forecasting prospect, the SETAR modeling technique is the most superior among the MS-AR and linear AR model for the interest rate of all countries except Japan. For long run forecasting prospect, again SETAR is the most powerful forecasting technique for Brazil, Canada, India, turkey and the USA while the MS-AR is not suitable for the interest rate time series. All in all, the SETAR modeling techniques is the most suitable forecasting technique for the interest rate.

**Industrial production**

Industrial or manufacturing production is the backbone of the economy of any country. The results can be seen above table for the purpose of short-run forecasting comparison. MS-AR modeling technique has the lowest forecasting error for Industrial production of Australia, Japan, and the UK while SETAR model is a suitable forecasting technique for industrial production of Canada and South Africa. The linear AR model is best among nonlinear models for the remaining four countries. According to our results, the long run forecasting outcome is the most surprising result. As the linear AR modeling technique has the superior forecasting ability for most of the countries except Australia, Canada and USA in which the SETAR and MS-AR are better forecasting techniques.

**Conclusion**

In this research paper, the forecast performance of two famous regime models namely Self-exciting threshold SETAR models and Markov regime switching autoregressive MSAR models is evaluated viz-a-viz the linear AR model using the data of some important macroeconomic variables namely exchange rate, consumer price inflation, gross domestic product growth, interest rate and industrial production. Quarterly data from 1960 to 2016 are employed from some important developed countries including the G7 countries and some important developing countries including the BRICS countries. The literature has presented conflicting results regarding this comparison. It is found that both the SETAR and MSAR models are empirically more powerful than the linear AR model using the three forecast evaluation criteria by means of shocks and particular characteristics. One of the main reason regarding the inability of the less satisfactory performance of the linear AR
models that these generally fail to capture the stylized behavior some economic time series i.e. structural breaks and asymmetries in business cycle recessions and expansions.

In some cases, especially with industrial production, there is evidence suggesting that the forecasting power of nonlinear regime models is not much superior to linear model. In the short-run, the forecasting performance of SETAR model is better than MSAR model for the exchange rate and inflation of different countries. For interest rate variable the forecasting power of SETAR model is superior for all the developed and developing countries.

The MSAR model gives more accurate forecast for GDP growth for most of the countries. However, there is not much difference in the forecasting ability of the MSAR model for exchange rate and inflation. Empirically, the forecasting power of linear AR model is found to be better than nonlinear models in few cases of the exchange rate, GDP growth and industrial production especially for developing countries thus supporting the De-Gooijer and Kumar (1992) conclusion who also found superiority of linear mode’s forecast in some cases. For the long run, the forecast performance of the SETAR model is superior to the MSAR and linear AR models for the exchange rate and interest rate and inflation for most of the countries. Overall, it is found that the nonlinear models namely the SETAR and MSAR yield better forecasts. It is also found that the forecasting performance of SETAR model is superior to the MSAR and linear AR models for both the short run and long run forecasting horizons for all the macroeconomic time series related to the developed and developing countries. Thus when nonlinearity and structural changes are present in the time series data, the linear models do not perform satisfactory as compared to the nonlinear models.

References


